

The Translation of Neologisms: Challenges for the Creation of New Terms in Indonesian Using a Corpus-based Approach

Dr. Karnedi, M.A.

Abstract –This paper aims to discuss neologisms in Indonesian as a result of translation. The main objective is to identify the creation of new lexical units through professional translation activities, particularly the translation of neologisms in economics texts from English into Indonesian. As far as the methodology is concerned, a parallel corpus has been designed as a study corpus which consists of some English texts as the source text and their translation in Indonesian as the target text (TT). For this purpose, a number of translated economics textbooks have been randomly chosen. They represent economics texts as a genre. The data is processed by utilizing WordSmith Tools version 5.0, a computer program that has been widely used in Corpus Linguistics research. A list of *keywords* involving the British National Corpus as a referent corpus is provided for the elicitation of neologisms in the ST. A comparative analysis involving their equivalents in Indonesian is then undertaken. Research findings show that new forms comprising collocations and acronyms (apart from eponyms, new coinages, derived words and phrasal words) are those dominant types of neologisms adapted from the source language (SL) through translating economics texts. Within the context of language planning in Indonesia, particularly corpus planning, the creation of Indonesian-oriented new terms in economics by professional translators seems to be a dynamic and sustainable process that could enrich the Glossary of English-Indonesian Technical Terms (GOEITT), called *Glosarium Istilah Asing-Indonesia*, produced by the National Language Development Agency (*Badan Bahasa*), as an effort to modernize Indonesian through translating neologisms in economics texts.

Keywords: economics text, neologism, language planning, parallel corpus, translation

1. Introduction

The metaphorical expression *DO NOT Leave Your Language Alone* seems to be an early warning to the stakeholders of language planning, particularly in terms of corpus planning [9], [6]. According to Cooper [5], language planning stakeholders comprises writers, poets, linguists, language teachers, lexicographers, translators, as well as missionaries, soldiers and administrators. (pp. 183–184) Within the context of global and cross-cultural communication, the influence of a dominant language on other minor languages in the era of globalization is an unavoidable linguistic reality. Along with the development of science and technology, some languages have direct contact through various aspects of life which have positively contributed to each other's development.

One of the linguistic aspects which has been developing so rapidly is technical terminology in different sciences. This then becomes the domain of neologisms, that is, new words created in a language as a result of science and technology development, including terms commonly used in Economics. Etymologically, neologisms came from the Latin words: *neo* (new) and *logos* (word). Thus, neologisms mean the creation of new words involving two languages or more, including the creation of new terms in Indonesian taken from foreign languages.

- This paper was presented at the *International Symposium on Language Planning with the theme "Language Planning in the 21st Century: Obstacles and Challenges"*. Jakarta: Indonesia's National Language Development Agency (*Badan Bahasa*), 2 to 4 November 2010.
- Dr. Karnedi, M.A. is currently an academic at the *Indonesia Open University, Indonesia*. PH-081280846991. Email: karnedi@ut.ac.id

Within the context of language planning in Indonesia, a number of new terms have been created, partly through English-Indonesian translation activities involving economics texts. The efforts that have been made in order to create those new terms are in part aimed to modernize Indonesian. Modernization, according to Cooper [5], is the third criteria of language development, apart from *graphization*, *standardization*, *codification*, and *elaboration*. (p. 149) Language modernization is considered as a process of how a language is entitled to be a tool of communication associated with modern topics and different discourse. Within the cross-cultural communication, this gives Indonesian the same status as other world languages. ([5] pp. 149–153) In order to meet the Indonesian society's needs for bilingual terms involving foreign languages, the National Language Development Agency (*Badan Bahasa*) of the Ministry of National Education of the Republic of Indonesia has launched a linguistic product, called *Glosarium Istilah Asing-Indonesia* (i.e. a glossary of English-Indonesian technical terms), a computer program containing a database of new terms in various basic sciences. A large number of linguists from three neighbouring countries – Brunei Darussalam, Indonesia and Malaysia – united in a regional language council called *Majelis Bahasa Brunei Darussalam-Indonesia-Malaysia (Mabbim)* or the Language Council of Brunei-Indonesia-Malaysia. The program proved to be extremely useful for the users who are facing dilemmas of whether to choose standardized terms in Indonesian, or to keep using their own equivalent terms in relation to a particular science when translating a text from English into Indonesian.

However, having seen the range of English-Indonesian technical terms compiled in the database of the glossary concerned, the emphasis was given more on the collocational pattern L4+ L3 + L2 + L1 + Node + R1+ R2 + R3 + R4. [21], [22] *Node* is a keyword that collocates with a number of words within a phrasal construction. L refers to those words which occur before the keyword while R refers to those words that occur after the keyword. Based on the corpus approach which heavily relies on the frequency of occurrences of certain language patterns, the occurrences of the above pattern, especially in economics texts, are not significantly high, compared to the pattern L1 + Node + R1. It is admitted that those technical terms constructed on the basis of the longer range of language pattern are regarded as the most important terms commonly used in the relevant field. For example, the phrase *price elasticity of demand*, as a technical term in economics texts, has been rendered into Indonesian using two alternative equivalents, that is, *keanjalan permintaan harga* and *elastisitas-harga permintaan*. The latter one seems to be in favour of the SL because the word *elasticity* has been translated as *elastisitas*, which is governed by the Indonesian phonological rules as the target language (TL). Still, the equivalent is the source-language-oriented.

Unlike the above collocational pattern, the shorter range of language pattern, like L1 + Node + R1 whose frequency of occurrences exceed the longer range of pattern, are not fully accommodated in the Glossary of English-Indonesian Technical Terms (GOEITT), as can be seen from Table 1 below. The collocational pattern *equilibrium price* (L1 + Node) has the second highest frequency of occurrence (i.e. 97) after the collocational pattern *price level* with the frequency of 297. Ironically, the former collocational pattern (i.e. *equilibrium price*) has only been classified into both Fisheries and Politics in the GOEITT, which is equivalent to the phrase *harga keseimbangan* in Indonesian. Based on the corpus analysis, the same term is also widely used in economics texts. In addition to this, as the professional translator's choice indicates, the collocational pattern *price ceiling* (Node + R1) in the Indonesian translated text (TT) is equivalent to the phrase *batas harga tertinggi*. The GOEITT contradictorily presents the pattern as *ceiling price* (L1 + Node) which is equivalent to the phrase *harga pagu* in Indonesian. Even though the combination of the lexical items (i.e. *batas harga tertinggi*) is constructed from three words already available in Indonesian (*batas* = limit; *harga* = price; *tertinggi* = the highest), a new meaning resulting from a translation process is created as soon as they are combined into the phrasal construction.

TABLE 1
 COLLOCATIONAL PATTERN L1 + NODE + R1
 FOR THE KEYWORD PRICE

Word	With	Rel.	Texts	Total	Total Left	Total Right	L1	Centre	R1
PRICE	price	0	80	3226	9	9	9	3208	9
LEVEL	price	0	11	297	0	297	0	0	297
EQUILIBRIUM	price	0	14	100	97	3	97	0	3
ELASTICITY	price	0	8	96	0	96	0	0	96
INDEX	price	0	6	92	0	92	0	0	92
MARKET	price	0	19	85	83	2	83	0	2
CONSUMER	price	0	6	79	79	0	79	0	0
WORLD	price	0	9	79	78	1	78	0	1
GIVEN	price	0	12	70	68	2	68	0	2
STOCK	price	0	11	65	65	0	65	0	0
DOMESTIC	price	0	6	54	51	3	51	0	3
SHARE	price	0	12	51	51	0	51	0	0
DISCRIMINATION	price	0	4	50	0	50	0	0	50
HIGHER	price	0	18	48	46	2	46	0	2
LOWER	price	0	13	45	44	1	44	0	1
RISES	price	0	12	44	1	43	1	0	43
CEILING	price	0	3	43	0	43	0	0	43
RELATIVE	price	0	8	38	38	0	38	0	0
FLOOR	price	0	2	34	0	34	0	0	34
PAID	price	0	9	31	0	31	0	0	31
EQUALS	price	0	6	30	0	30	0	0	30
FACTOR	price	0	8	29	29	0	29	0	0
DISTORTIONS	price	0	7	28	0	28	0	0	28
FALLS	price	0	12	27	0	27	0	0	27
LOW	price	0	15	25	24	1	24	0	1

HIGH price 0 10 24 24 0 24 0 0

Coming back to the term *equilibrium price*, as mentioned earlier, it has been commonly used in economics texts with two alternative equivalents chosen by different translators in the TT - *harga keseimbangan* and *harga ekuilibrium*. Both options for the English term in Indonesian reflect the translator's language taste or style ([1] pp. 241–266), which also refers to translation methods applied by the translators, whether they have the SL or the TL orientation. Translator X preferred to choose *harga keseimbangan* for the term *equilibrium price*, whereas translator Y used the terms *harga ekuilibrium* in the Indonesian translated text.

Table 2 presents a small number of technical terms commonly used in a few basic sciences as presented in the GOEITT that are also found in the parallel corpus of economics texts.

TABLE 2
 SAMPLES OF NEW TERMS IN THE GOEITT
 ACROSS BASIC SCIENCES

GOEITT by the National Language Development Agency		Translated Economics Texts
Terms	Basic Science	Economics
supply and demand (<i>permintaan dan penawaran</i>)	Politics	supply and demand (<i>permintaan dan penawaran</i>)
household income (<i>pendapatan rumah tangga</i>)	Sociology	household income (<i>pendapatan rumah tangga</i>)
labor (<i>tenaga kerja</i>)	Fisheries	labor force (<i>tenaga kerja</i>)
labor (<i>melahirkan</i>)	Biology	
labour force [Br.E]; labor force [Am.E] (<i>tenaga kerja</i>)	Antropology	labor force [Am.E] (<i>tenaga kerja</i>)

Neologisms are created as a result of a creative writing process involving the ST. Consequently, a large number of new terms have been added to a language under the name of terminology of sciences, such as Economics as one branch of social sciences. The creation of new acronyms, such as *LDC*, *GNP*, *GDP* and *EVA*, has been considered as neologisms phenomena in economics texts as a technical text or special language. *EVA*, for instance, as an acronym in economics texts, particularly in Management, stands for *Economic Value-Added*. Having done a comparative corpus analysis, it was found that the translator created the term *nilai tambah ekonomis* in Indonesian (neologism) as an equivalent for the English acronym. It is therefore interesting to investigate how neologisms in English economics texts have been translated into Indonesian by professional translators.

Research in Translation Studies show that translators often encounter difficulties when they translate neologisms in non-fiction texts. ([17], p. 140) Differences in the way the translator chooses certain equivalents greatly depend upon the translation methods being adopted, whether the SL-

oriented or the TL-oriented. [17], [8] Translator X preferred to use the equivalent *harga keseimbangan* which is Indonesian-oriented while translator Y chose *harga ekuilibrium* as an equivalent for the term *equilibrium price*. The latter alternative equivalent seems to be restricted by, or faithful to, the SL. Neologisms in Indonesian, as briefly explained above, are regarded as an interesting phenomenon of new terms formation, which becomes the main concern of this research on the basis of a parallel corpus.

2. Literature Review

The definition of the term 'neologism', that is, the use of new words and old expressions with new meaning was partly proposed by ([17] pp. 140–150). He classifies neologisms into twelve types which were then divided into two major parts:

- Existing lexical items with new senses/old words with new sense consisting of: (1) words (e.g. *sophisticated planning processes and formal plans*); (2) existing collocations with new meaning (e.g. *high-quality products*);
- New forms comprising: (1) new coinages (e.g. *Nokia and BlackBerry*); (2) derived words (e.g. *neoMarxist*); (3) abbreviations (e.g. *neolib* as a short form of *neoliberalism*); (4) new collocations (e.g. *capital flight*); (5) eponyms (e.g. *Keynesian model*); (6) phrasal words (e.g. *a trade-off*); (7) transferred words (e.g. *Nike competes against Reebok, Adidas and Fila*); (8) acronyms (e.g. *LDCs* for *Less Developing Countries*); (9) pseudo-neologism (e.g. *investment ratio*); (10) internationalisms (e.g. *bite* in the domain of computer). On the other hand, ([10] pp. 245–253) groupes neologisms into six categories: (1) Scientific terms (e.g. *nonautoregressive* means unusual); (2) Initials (e.g. *SURE* for *seemingly unrelated regression equations*); (3) Name-attributes (e.g. *Faustmann-Ohlin Theorem vs Heckscher-Ohlin Model*); (4) Colloquialisms (e.g. *sticky* related to rents and prices); (5) Metaphors (e.g. *shadow prices*); (6) Economic stories (e.g. *one constructed theories*).

Another definition of neologism was put forward by ([19] p. 77), that is, "a unit of the lexicon, a word, a word element or a phrase, whose meaning, or whose signifier-signified relationship, presupposing an effective function in a specific model of communication, ...". The definition emphasizes on the components and functions of neologisms within the context of communication. In order to response to rapid social changes, neologisms were created through three stages: (1) creating new words and phrases; (2) borrowing words from a foreign language/loan words; (3) giving new meanings towards the existing words ([4] p. 189).

As raised by Newmark, translating neologisms also poses translation problems. A study on the translation of neologisms in literary text was conducted by [7]. She

investigated how the process of translating neologisms in two novels written by Douglas Adams entitled *The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy* and *the Restaurant at the End of the Universe* into Hungarian (as her object of research). As for the methodology, even though imaginative, all neologisms in the ST and their equivalents in the TL were identified before putting them into eight categories: Group 1 (comprising names of animals, plants, and various kinds of food and drinks); Group 2 (consisting of groups, institutions, organizations, companies, universities, etc); Group 3 (includes names of people and other living things); Group 4 (containing geographical terms, planets, stars, and cities); Group 5 (consisting of the types of life forms in Group 4); Group 6 (covering technical terms in space, musical instrument, weapons, electronic devices and new types of sports); Group 7 (includes colours, materials, historical events, theories, sciences, specific sciences, activities, jobs, price, title, units of measurement and currencies); Group 8 (includes slang, specific expressions and other kinds of forms). For each category of neologisms, it was only the first appearance of neologisms which was recorded due to practical reasons for collecting the data.

To answer the research question, she studied a variety of translation procedures applied by the translator as proposed by ([17] p. 82). She did not only adapt a number of Newmark's types of neologisms but also some translation procedures suggested by [17], including [12], as follows: transference (i.e. "... the process of transferring a SL word to a TL text ..." ([17] p. 81), transliteration (i.e. "... cases where the graphological units of the SL name are replaced by TL graphological units ..." ([24] p. 93), naturalisation (i.e. "... cases where the graphological units of the SL name are replaced by TL graphological units ..." ([24] p. 93), addition (i.e. by adding words, phrases, or sentences to the TT which are not mentioned in the ST, omission (i.e. due to differences in knowledge background between the ST readers and TT readers [12], compensation (i.e. "... when loss of meaning sound-effect, metaphor or pragmatic effect in one part of a sentence is compensated in another part, or in a contiguous sentence..." ([17] p. 90), semantic translation ([17] used the terminology 'transparent translation'). Procedures are used to translate cultural terms in the SL so that they are understandable within the context of TL.) and total transformation ([24] p. 94 used the term *modification*, that is, "the process of choosing for the TL name a TL substitute which is logically, or conventionally, unrelated or only partly related to the original"; whereas ([8] p. 8) called it *modulation*, as a translation technique, indicated by different point of view. However, [24] used a different term which is translational operations. Other experts in translation studies used different terms for the *procedures* ([17] p. 82), [13] p. 167) and [24] p. 89 used the term *operations*. Other scholars used the terms *techniques* and *strategies*.

A question that can be put forward at this point is Why it is so important to study neologisms, or the creation of new terms in Indonesian? To answer this question, a study was conducted after observing several aspects of neologisms that have not been completely covered in the GOEITT. By

referring to the acknowledgement of the program, in terms of the methodology, there are at least three major aspects that become the main concern of this research: (1) those who were involved in the development of the GOEITT did not represent all relevant stakeholders in the development or language planning, as highlighted in the Introduction section; (2) corpus planning, especially Economics, that might still not meet the standard criteria or categories in designing a corpus for the sake of creating English-Indonesia terms ([5] p. 125, [3] and [18] pp. 45-56); (3) newly-invented technical terms, which are not available in the GOEITT, need to be added to the first edition of the glossary so that it becomes more and more complete. The GOEITT (1st edition) only contains 182,415 technical terms. In other words, an alternative methodology has been introduced in this research which aims to give scientific responses, based on corpus-based empirical evidence, to the three aspects mentioned above, particularly how those English-Indonesian technical terms in Economics were created by professional translators. This is due to the fact that they are actually stakeholders who should have been involved in the development of creating new technical terms within the context of language planning in Indonesia.

Theoretically and empirically, translation activities done by professionals can positively contribute to the creation of new terms in Indonesian. By using the existing lexical items in Indonesian, new combinations of words with new meanings can be created. For example, the phrase *deadweight loss* in Economics was translated into Indonesian as *kerugian beban baku* (i.e. loss that a company or a person suffered from due to imposed taxes). The Indonesian new term was created from three existing lexical units, that is, *kerugian* (literally: loss), *beban* (literally: burden) and *baku* (literally: fixed); the word *kerugian* (loss) as a noun in Indonesian is defined as *perihal rugi* as in the sentence ... *kerugian perusahaan itu telah teratasi* (literally: ... the company's loss has been returned). The word *beban* (burden) in the Complete Indonesian Dictionary/*Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia* (2003) is defined as *barang (yg berat) yg dibawa/dipikul/dijunjung* (literally: heavy things placed on one's shoulder or head). The word *baku* (fixed) as a noun in the dictionary is defined as: *pokok, utama* (literally: main, major). Such phenomenon of creating the new term is called neologism. The next research question to ask in this respect is how far neologisms, as a positive impact of translation, can give contribution to Indonesian?

3. Methodology

The research methodology consists of three parts: method, data collection and data processing. As regards the method of reasoning, the inductive approach has been applied in order to observe the occurrence of language patterns in the parallel corpus (i.e. the ST subcorpus and the TT subcorpus), especially those phenomena related to neologisms, before drawing some generalizations. To obtain the data for analysis, a parallel corpus has been designed that comprises two parts: first, the ST which contains a collection of

electronic files in the field of Economics; second, the TT which has the Indonesian translated text in the same form.

Having obtained both types of subcorpus - the ST subcorpus having 1,528,148 running words and the TT subcorpus having 1,565,285, the next stage was creating a list of keywords found in both types of corpus. By using a computer software called WordSmith Tools versi 5.0, a list of keywords was created. The list was obtained by comparing the list of words found in the study corpus with a list of words available in a referent corpus (i.e. the British National Corpus). Even though the ST was written in the American English, using the British National Corpus (BNC) seemed to have two advantages: (1) it is more practical, compared to the American National Corpus (ANC 2nd Release) with the html format, as it uses the txt format and is also bigger than the ANC as it contains around 100 millions of words in comparison with the ANC which only has around 14 millions of words. The ratio between the two (i.e. the study corpus and the referent corpus) is 1 : 5, as practised in many Corpus Linguistics research. [20] The selection of the keywords was limited up to 500 words having the frequency of occurrences of at least 200.

By making use of the keywords, neologisms occurred in the ST were identified, particularly collocations and acronyms. In further research, the identification of neologisms in the ST could be extended to all the keywords

in the list so that the research findings would be more comprehensive. This in the end would certainly enrich or enlarge the database of the GOEITT. The identification of collocations was only restricted to the shorter range of collocational pattern, that is, L1 + Node + R1, which was frequently found in Economics textbooks, including some acronyms, as also raised by [16]. A comparative analysis was then carried out on the next stage to study translator's preference in choosing ST neologism equivalents in the translated text (TT).

4. Results and Discussions

This section presents some results of identifying neologisms in both types of corpus (the ST and the TT), followed by a discussion or justification for the findings.

4.1. Identifying Neologisms in the ST and the TT

After statistically processing the data, or the ST subcorpus in the form of wordlist and also a wordlist taken from the referent corpus (BNC), a list of keywords in the ST was produced, as seen from Table 3 below. Statistical data in column 3 and 4 of Table 3 refers to the ST subcorpus while the data in column 6 and 7 refers to the referent corpus (i.e. the British National Corpus /BNC).

TABLE 3
 A LIST OF KEYWORDS IN ECONOMICS TEXTS (ST)

Key word	Freq.	%	RC.		Keyness
			Freq.	RC. %	
PRICE	6104	0.3994	1573	0.0204844	14727.649
DEMAND	4006	0.2621	840	0.0109389	10232.514
QUANTITY	3002	0.1964	133		9734.9893
SUPPLY	3538	0.2315	566		9627.0957
CURVE	2736	0.179	148		8717.7041
INCOME	3490	0.2284	883	0.0114989	8462.3486
LABOR	2078	0.136	20		7247.5361
RATE	3436	0.2248	1540	0.0200547	6748.7397
MARKET	4195	0.2745	2861	0.0372575	6585.5059
COST	3336	0.2183	1561	0.0203282	6423.5942
GOODS	2386	0.1561	455		6238.5166
GROWTH	2778	0.1818	897	0.0116812	6222.751
CAPITAL	2705	0.177	942	0.0122672	5894.5249
ECONOMY	2662	0.1742	933	0.01215	5786.7876
TAX	2976	0.1947	1374	0.017893	5765.7759
EVA	1666	0.109	28		5710.4023
VALUE	2708	0.1772	1041	0.0135565	5678.6079
COUNTRIES	2815	0.1842	1463	0.019052	5149.6948
DEVELOPMENT	2714	0.1776	1338	0.0174242	5096.6406
MANAGERS	1895	0.124	489		4566.4551
INFLATION	1988	0.1301	677		4367.5688
EQUILIBRIUM	1201	0.0786	40		3975.6238
FIRMS	1816	0.1188	680		3847.5151

DEVELOPING	1533	0.1003	384	3726.6387
GDP	1091	0.0714	100	3268.7949

As seen from the extract of keywords shown in Table 3, all the keywords have high Keynes Index which indicates a mutual relation between those words in the ST subcorpus and with those words in BNC as a referent corpus. The first five keywords in the ST having the highest level of Keynes Index are: PRICE (Keyness: 14727.7), DEMAND (Keyness: 10232.5), SUPPLY (Keyness: 9627.1), INCOME (Keyness: 8462.4), and LABOR (Keyness: 7247.5).

Due to limited amount of time available, only five keywords were used to elicit the occurrences of neologisms. If it is necessary, further research may focus comprehensively on the identification of all neologisms in the ST. By using the concordance software, authentic samples of neologisms related to those keywords, particularly collocations and acronyms, were obtained. Identification was only restricted to those neologisms which are not available in the GOEITT, especially the collocational pattern L1 + Node + R1 that has the strongest collocational strength, compared to those collocational patterns with the range, or span of L2-L4 and R2-R4. [22]

After processing the data involving the ST subcorpus, the next statistical procedure was to create a list of keywords on the basis of the TT subcorpus, as shown in Table 4. By using a separate Indonesian monolingual corpus I developed myself, five keywords with the highest Keynes Index were identified: HARGA (*price*) with the Keynes Indeks of 4031.8, PENDAPATAN (*income*) with the Keynes Indeks of 2675.9, PAJAK (*tax*) with the Keynes Indeks of 2661.4, BIAYA (*cost*) with the Keynes Indeks of 2399.4, and PASAR (*market*) with the Keynes Indeks of 2213.5. An interesting phenomenon that came up is that the word PRICE, as a keyword in the ST subcorpus and its equivalent in the TT subcorpus (i.e. HARGA), come first on each list. Thus, as an empirical evidence for this research, it is scientifically reasonable to use it as one of the keywords, or keyword in context/KWIC (among others) to identify the use of neologisms in both the ST subcorpus and the TT subcorpus by making use of WordSmith Tools version 5.0.

TABLE 4
 A LIST OF KEYWORDS IN THE TT SUBCORPUS

Key word	Freq.	%	RC.		Keyness
			Freq.	RC. %	
HARGA	7265	0.4940607	305	0.04133	4031.841064
NEGARA	9717	0.6608105	829	0.11233	3928.70752
PERUSAHAAN	8052	0.5475811	833	0.11287	2856.454834
PENDAPATAN	3872	0.2633177	58		2675.873779
PAJAK	3885	0.2642018	62		2661.351563
BIAYA	4527	0.3078614	217	0.0294	2399.344971
KURVA	2947	0.2004125	11		2278.283447
PASAR	5573	0.3789952	488	0.06612	2213.54126
TINGKAT	4393	0.2987486	282	0.03821	2064.661133
BARANG	3904	0.2654939	238	0.03225	1878.326538
PERMINTAAN	3053	0.2076211	89	0.01206	1870.627075
PENAWARAN	2486	0.1690619	20		1834.390625
MANAJER	2345	0.1594732	66		1448.223877
ORGANISASI	2816	0.1915038	148	0.02005	1440.963623
KEBIJAKAN	2913	0.1981003	175	0.02371	1410.165894
NILAI	3532	0.2401958	327	0.04431	1352.183594
EVA	1774	0.1206419	19		1274.817993
JUMLAH	3486	0.2370676	345	0.04675	1274.779907
UPAH	1621	0.1102371	8		1235.716064
PEREKONOMIAN	2259	0.1536247	99	0.01341	1234.059204
MODAL	2446	0.1663417	144	0.01951	1194.404541
JANGKA	2191	0.1490003	98	0.01328	1188.703979
PRODUKSI	2176	0.1479802	125	0.01694	1073.670288
INFLASI	1635	0.1111892	39		1045.730591
EKONOMI	3308	0.2249625	405	0.05488	1021.324768
KERJA	3252	0.2211542	396	0.05366	1008.827148

PERUBAHAN	2088	0.1419957	150	0.02032	927.5288086
UANG	2969	0.2019086	363	0.04919	917.6419067
PEKERJA	1711	0.1163576	88	0.01192	882.5435181

The statistical data in column 3 and 4 of Table 5 refers to the TT subcorpus, whereas the data in column 5 and 6 refers to the referent corpus, that is, an Indonesian bilingual corpus. Based on the statistical data in Table 3 and Table 4, a supplementary glossary to the GOEITT, including acronyms, have been developed with the focus on the collocational pattern L1 + Node + R1, as provided in Appendices 1 to 6.

4.2. Discussions

Empirical research findings related to the translation of neologisms in economics texts in this paper were based on a corpus approach. The parallel corpus (the ST and the TT) was dynamically designed and contains a number of economics texts. The analysis of forming English-Indonesian economics terms through professional translation activities is expected to widen stakeholder's understanding of the Indonesian language planning. The stages of creating English-Indonesian technical terms through corpus planning, as a methodology, empirically proves to be an alternative way of enriching the collection of technical terms in the GOEITT published by the National Language Development Agency (*Badan Bahasa*) of the Ministry of National Education, especially English-Indonesian economics terms.

Within the context of language planning in Indonesia, the parallel corpus which contains electronic files was taken from various sources associated with some basic sciences and should be dynamic in nature, rather than static like the BNC. This means that it is necessary to make efforts to periodically enlarge the size of the corpus concerned. As a result, the number of English-Indonesian terms available in the glossary will get bigger and bigger. This in turn will certainly have a good impact on the glossary itself in terms of its quality, as it has a larger capacity to accommodate the users' needs and enable them to catch up with new development in science and technology. The process of developing the dynamic and sustainable corpus will make Indonesian, as a national language, more modern because it will continually adapt to newly created terms in various fields, including Economics, partly through professional translation activities.

Appendices 1-6 show some empirical findings of this research on neologisms. It proves that the application of a different methodology in terms of the methods used (whether inductive and deductive one), the corpus designed or collected, the neologisms identified or processed, particularly collocations and acronyms, would come up with slightly different research findings. By using the inductive method, the use of neologisms (e.g. collocational patterns) in the corpus of particular sciences is observed, whereas the deductive method begins with identifying neologisms in the glossary provided at the back of a textbook without making use of tools for statistical analysis available in a corpus linguistic software like WordSmith Tools version 5.0, or other

concordance software. These findings will re-enforce and also complete other similar research conducted beforehand, like the making of GOEITT, which in some respects still has weaknesses regarding the methodology employed, especially concerning the way the parallel corpus was designed and processed.

However, some types of neologisms, particularly certain patterns of collocation have not yet been accommodated in the Glossary of English-Indonesian Terms; for instance the following collocational patterns such as Verb + Noun (as in *distort prices*), or Noun + Verb (as in *prices rise*), or Noun + Noun (as in *export prices* and *price discrimination*). Neologisms presented in the glossary concerned are mainly restricted to the pattern like Adjective + Noun (as in *domestic price*) even though this has not yet been fully comprehensive. Other patterns or terms, such as *real income* (*penghasilan riil* and *penghasilan nyata* in Indonesian), *negative income* (*pendapatan negatif* in Indonesian), and *expected income* (*pendapatan harapan* in Indonesian), should have been included in the glossary as well. See lists of supplementary glossaries to GOEITT in Appendices 1-6 which have not yet been accommodated in the GOEITT; however such collocational pattern as Adjective + Noun has a high frequency of occurrences in the corpus. One of the reasons why those collocational patterns should be incorporated into the glossary program is that collocations as found in the ST subcorpus (i.e. English) are considered as one important parts of neologisms, or the creation of English-Indonesian terms.

Another interesting finding that came up during the analysis of the data has to do with the pattern Noun + Noun, as in *stock prices*. A comparative analysis involving the ST and the TT proves that the equivalent *harga-harga saham* (literally: *the prices of stock*) in the TT subcorpus was chosen by the translator and this is completely acceptable to the grammatical rules of Indonesian. However, this translation seems to be restricted by the English plural forms - *stock prices*. Nevertheless, the word *stock* (rather than in a combination with other words) in the GOEITT belongs to Finance, which is basically part of Economics. In other words, the empirical evidence proves that the use of corpus approach in the development of the GOEITT can thoroughly elicit those language patterns that occur in the corpus or a collection of texts of certain fields and which might not be detected in the previous data processing.

Translators normally have their own style or language taste which is an important factor in modernizing a language through neologisms. This is reflected in their translation in which various alternative equivalents for neologisms in the ST were chosen. 'Consistency' and 'alternative equivalents' are two debatable phenomena of using neologisms, at least from the translation critic point of view. In the literature of translation studies, this is called *translator's choice* or *translator's preference*. Consistency refers to the translator's

choice, that is, how “faithful” a translator is in using neologisms in his translation. Once he chose the phrase *harga keseimbangan* as an equivalent for the English phrase *equilibrium price*, he would use the same standardized equivalent in other parts of his own translation.

On the other hand, alternative equivalents are closely linked to a number of available equivalents for neologisms that the translator can choose from. The alternative equivalents within the context of professional translation activities are likely to be a logical consequence of using a translation method and/or translation techniques. Still, this needs to go through a standardized process. In addition, along the history of creating neologisms in Indonesian in association with certain disciplines, it is often criticised by target readers that there is no consistency among translators in choosing or using equivalents for technical terms in translated texts. To put it more simply, there have not been adequate numbers of standardized equivalents available that translators can turn to as a reference or guidance.

The existence of the GOEITT produced by the National Language Development Agency (*Badan Bahasa*) gives a response to the above needs even though it is still at its early stage. This is of course not the end of it. The effort to create new English-Indonesian terms needs to be continued and extended in the future towards other sciences. For example, this research shows that different translators rendered neologisms in the ST into the TT in the form of different equivalents, as seen from Table 5.

Language users from different cultural background often have different cognitive experiences which in fact have implication for the creation of neologisms in Indonesian. Take, for example, the concept of ‘price’ in English which normally associates with the concepts of ‘low-high’, as in *low price* and *high price*. Identification of language patterns in the ST subcorpus proves that translation activities have enriched the Indonesian language patterns.

TABLE 5

NEOLOGISMS IN ECONOMICS (ENGLISH-INDONESIAN)

Neologisms in the ST	Neologisms in Indonesian
equilibrium price	<i>harga ekuilibrium; harga keseimbangan</i>
commodity price	<i>harga komoditi; harga komoditas</i>
purchase price	<i>harga beli; harga pembelian</i>
prices fall	<i>harga jatuh; harga turun</i>
accounting price	<i>harga perakunan; harga pembukuan</i>
ceiling price	<i>harga pagu; batas harga tertinggi</i>

For example, the phrase *low price* is equivalent to two Indonesian phrases, that is, *harga murah* (literally: price cheap) and *harga rendah* (literally: price low); whereas in the case of *high price*, two other phrases, *harga mahal* (literally: price expensive) and *harga tinggi* (literally: price high), are acceptable equivalents in Indonesian; they are original Indonesian collocational patterns.

Collocational patterns with wider range (e.g. the pattern L3 + L2 + L1 + Node as in *allocation of net income* rendered as *alokasi pendapatan bersih* in Indonesian) are constructed or developed on the basis of shorter range of collocational patterns (e.g. the pattern L1 + Node as in the phrase *net income* rendered as *pendapatan bersih* in Indonesian). Both ranges of collocational patterns should have been integrated into the program of the GOEITT. Since the term or pattern *net income* in the ST subcorpus has a relatively high frequency of occurrences, it is quite reasonable to include the same term based on the collocational pattern and its equivalent in Indonesian in the GOEITT. In other words, the corpus approach gives more emphasis on the frequency of occurrences of a technical term in a given corpus, whereas the compilation of new terms done manually might simply be based on a limited glossary provided at the back of a textbook, or a dictionary of technical terms.

Some research findings in this research were initially unpredictable. To begin with, the pattern L1 + Node + R1 (as seen in Appedices 1–6), which has a fairly high frequency of occurrences in the ST subcorpus, has not yet been all accommodated in the GOEITT of the National Language Development Agency (*Badan Bahasa*). The glossary concerned seems to pay more attention to neologisms, or collocations with longer range of patterns, that is, up to L4 and R4.

The use of neologisms, or a number of technical terms, was not only found in a couple of basic sciences, but also in other basic sciences. For example, the term *equilibrium price* or *market-clearing price* are classified into Fisheries and Politics in the GOEITT. Yet, the same terms were also found in the economic translated texts (the TT). The TT subcorpus presents two equivalents for the term *equilibrium price* – *harga ekuilibrium* (literally: price equilibrium) and *harga keseimbangan* (literally: price balance) in Indonesian. The phenomenon of those alternative equivalents is closely related to the ideology of translation strategies, or translation methods, or translation techniques used by the translators who might be in favour of the SL rather than the TL, or the other way round. In addition to this, the translation aim, or *skopos*, would also determine the equivalents chosen by the translators, or a team of translators as requested by the commissioner. A more surprising neologism phenomenon was the term *harga keseimbangan* in Finance, which is the translation for the English phrase *parity price*. The availability of English-Indonesian terms that might be used in different basic sciences needs to be pooled in a separate matrix, or list so that the users of the glossary can use each of the terms correctly when they deal with a particular basic science.

The data analysis also indicates that translators pay more attention to the ST. Economic neologisms in the ST were creatively rendered into Indonesian as the TL without the risk of losing the meaning in the ST. Nonetheless, the target readers’ needs should also be taken into consideration. In other words, the results of the analysis shows an application of norms in translation (i.e. translational norms). Both literal and faithful translation as methods of translation were employed by the translators.

5. Conclusion

Research on neologisms discussed in this paper is intended to offer an alternative methodology with which linguists can investigate neologisms, or the creation of foreign-Indonesian terms in Economics, as translated by professionals. The research findings were specifically based on a corpus approach, particularly neologisms associated with collocations and acronyms whose occurrences are significantly dominant in the parallel corpus. It is expected that newly invented neologisms could be added to the existing GOEITT of the National Language Development Agency (*Badan Bahasa*), especially in the section of Economics, which have not been included in the glossary concerned.

Research on neologism translation highlighted in this paper has two major weaknesses. First, due to limited amount of time available, the identification of neologisms in the parallel corpus could not be carried out comprehensively for all the keywords in the economics texts. Second, all of the texts compiled in the study corpus were only taken from a few branches of Economics, such as Microeconomics, Macroeconomics, Management and Economic Development.

Further research needs to be conducted on a bigger corpus. This can be done by adding some more electronic texts taken from other branches of Economics, such as Accounting and Business. Thus, the two main criteria, *representativeness* and *coverage*, in designing or developing the dynamic corpus can be fulfilled. Additionally, the identification of neologism in the bigger and more comprehensive ST subcorpus of Economics needs to be extended towards other keywords, such as MARKET, COST, GOODS, GROWTH, CAPITAL, ECONOMY, TAX, VALUE, DEVELOPMENT, MANAGER, INFLATION, UNEMPLOYMENT, REVENUE, GDP, etc (See Table 3 and 4 for a list of keywords in economics text, both the ST and the TT). Identifying the occurrences of neologism in the corpus concerned involving all the keywords in economics text (the ST and the TT) would certainly enhance both the quantity and the quality of the GOEITT of the National Language Development Agency (*Badan Bahasa*) as a whole, and the glossary of English-Indonesian economic terms in particular. If this methodology were applied to other basic sciences, applied sciences, and humanities, it will enrich the collection of bilingual technical terms in the GOEITT produced by the National Language Development Agency (*Badan Bahasa*).

References

[1] Baker, M. (1995) "Corpora in Translation Studies: An Overview and Some Suggestions for Future Research". In: *Target* 7(2): 223-243.

- [2] Baker, M. (2000) "Towards a Methodology for Investigating the Style of a Literary Translator". In: *Target* 12(2): 241-266.
- [3] Bowker, L. & J, Pearson. (2002) *Working with Specialized Language: A Practical Guide to Using Corpora*. London: Routledge.
- [4] Choi, J. (2006) "Interpreting Neologisms Used in Korea's Rapidly Changing Society". In: *Meta*, 51.
- [5] Cooper, R.L. (1989) *Language Planning and Social Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [6] Fishman, J.A. (2006) *DO NOT Leave Your Language Alone: The Hidden Status Agendas Within Corpus Planning in Language Policy*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- [7] Heged-s, I. (2004) *The Translation of Neologism in Two Novels of Douglas Adams: The Hitchhike's Guide to the Galaxy and the Restaurant at the End of the Universe*. Dissertation. Budapest: Department of English for Teacher Education, School of English and American Studies, Faculty of Humanities.
- [8] Hoed, B.H. (2006) *Penerjemahan dan Kebudayaan*. Jakarta: Pustaka Jaya.
- [9] Lakoff, G. & Johnson, M. (1980) *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- [10] Kafandaris, S.P. (1995) "A Handbook of Economic Neologism". In: *Journal of Economic Issues* 29(1):245-253.
- [11] Kenny, D. (2001). *Lexis and Creativity in Translation*. Manchester: St. Jerome.
- [12] Klaudy, K. (2001) "Explicitation". In: Baker, M. (Ed.). *Routledge encyclopedia of translation studies* (h. 104-108). London: Routledge.
- [13] Klaudy, K. (2003) *Languages in translation: Lectures on the theory, teaching and practice of translation*. Budapest: Scholastica.
- [14] Laviosa, S. (1998) "The Corpus-Based Approach". In: *Meta Special Issue* 43(4).
- [15] Moeliono, A.M. (1989) *Kembara Bahasa: Kumpulan Karangan Tersebar*. Jakarta: Gramedia.
- [16] Moghadam, M.Y. & Sedighi, A. (2012) "A Study of the Translation of Neologism in Technical Texts: A Case of Computer Texts". In *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research* 3(2).
- [17] Newmark, P. (1988) *Approaches to Translation*. Hertfordshire: Prentice Hall International (UK) Limited.
- [18] Olohan, M. (2004) *Introducing Corpora in Translation Studies*, London: Routledge.
- [19] Rey, A. (1995) *Essays on Terminology* (Sager, J., Trans.). Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- [20] Seretan, M. (2003) *Syntactic and Semantic Oriented Coprus Investigation for Collocation Extraction, Translation and Generation*. Dissertation, Language Technology Laboratory, Dept of Linguistics, Faculty of Arts, University of Geneva.
- [21] Sinclair, J. (1991) *Corpus Concordance Collocation*, Oxford: OUP.
- [22] Stubbs, M. (2001) *Words and Phrases: Corpus Studies of Lexical Semantics*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers Limited.
- [23] Tognini Bonelli, E. (2000b) *Corpus Theory and Practice*. Birmingham: TWC.
- [24] Vermes, A. P. (2003). *Proper Names in Translation: An Explanatory Attempt across Languages and Cultures*, 4(1), 89-108.
- [25] Zanettin, F. (2000) "Parallel corpora in Translation Studies: Issues in Corpus Design and Analysis". In: M. Olohan (ed.) *Intercultural Faultlines. Research Models in Translation Studies I. Textual and cognitive aspects*. Manchester: St Jerome.

Appendices

Appendice 1

A Supplementary Glossary to the GOEITT Based-on the Keyword PRICE

English Phrase (ST)	Indonesian Phrase (TT)
equilibrium price	<i>harga keseimbangan; harga ekuilibrium</i>
world price	<i>harga dunia</i>
higher prices	<i>harga lebih tinggi</i>
lower prices	<i>harga lebih rendah</i>
domestic price	<i>harga domestik</i>
high price	<i>harga tinggi</i>
price ceiling	<i>batas harga tertinggi²⁶</i>
low price	<i>harga rendah, harga murah</i>
price floor	<i>harga dasar; harga pokok</i>
price discrimination	<i>diskriminasi harga</i>
share price	<i>harga saham</i>
price shift	<i>pergeseran harga</i>
expected price	<i>harga yang diharapkan</i>
international price	<i>harga internasional</i>
current price	<i>harga saat ini; harga sekarang; harga yang berlaku</i>
price ratio	<i>rasio harga</i>
rental price	<i>harga sewa</i>
commodity price	<i>harga komoditas; harga komoditi</i>
input price	<i>harga input</i>
inelastic price theory	<i>teori kekakuan harga</i>
export price	<i>harga ekspor</i>
perfect price	<i>harga sempurna</i>
resale price	<i>penetapan harga</i>
maintenance effective price	<i>penjualan kembali harga efektif</i>
single price	<i>harga tunggal</i>
price taker	<i>penerima harga</i>
cross price	<i>harga silang</i>
lowest price	<i>harga terendah</i>
various price	<i>harga yang berbeda-beda</i>
price system	<i>sistem harga</i>
currency price	<i>harga dalam mata uang</i>
price signal	<i>sinyal harga</i>
price adjustment	<i>penyesuaian harga</i>
firm's price	<i>harga pabrik</i>
annual price	<i>harga tahunan</i>
transfer price	<i>harga transfer</i>
price movement	<i>pergerakan harga</i>

imperfect price	<i>harga yang tidak sempurna</i>
highest price	<i>harga tertinggi</i>
distorted price	<i>distorsi harga</i>
affected price	<i>harga terkena dampak</i>
neoclassical price	<i>harga neoklasik</i>
price allocation	<i>alokasi harga</i>
established price	<i>harga yang berlaku</i>
price double	<i>harga ganda</i>
price type	<i>jenis harga</i>
monopolist's price	<i>harga monopoli; harga monopolistik</i>
farm price	
asset price	<i>harga peternakan</i>
premium price	<i>harga asset</i>
price inelasticity	<i>harga premium</i>
	<i>kekakuan harga</i>

Appendice 2

A Supplementary Glossary to the GOEITT Based-on the Keyword DEMAND

English Phrase (ST)	Indonesian Phrase (TT)
demand curve	<i>kurva permintaan</i>
aggregate demand	<i>permintaan agregat</i>
labor demand	<i>permintaan tenaga kerja</i>
money demand	<i>permintaan uang</i>
demand diagram	<i>diagram permintaan</i>
downward-sloping demand curve	<i>kurva permintaan miring ke bawah</i>
individual demand	<i>permintaan individu</i>
world demand	<i>permintaan dunia</i>
relative demand	<i>permintaan relatif</i>
increased demand	<i>lonjakan permintaan</i>
linear demand curve	<i>kurva permintaan linier²⁸</i>
international demand	<i>permintaan internasional</i>
demand quantity	<i>jumlah permintaan</i>
demand side	<i>sisi permintaan</i>
growing demand	<i>pertumbuhan permintaan</i>
invest demand	<i>permintaan investasi</i>
consumption demand	<i>permintaan konsumsi</i>
LDC demand	<i>permintaan negara berkembang</i>
rising demand	<i>kenaikan permintaan</i>
monopolist's demand	<i>permintaan perusahaan monopoli</i>
demand for loanable fund	<i>permintaan akan dana pinjaman</i>

domestic demand *permintaan domestik*

Appendice 3

A Supplementary Glossary to the GOEITT Based-on the Keyword SUPPLY

English Phrase (ST)	Indonesian Phrase (TT)
supply and demand	<i>penawaran dan permintaan</i>
market supply	<i>penawaran pasar</i>
equilibrium of supply	<i>keseimbangan/equilibrium penawaran</i>
balance of supply	<i>kesemibangan penawaran</i>
inelastic of supply	<i>penawaran inelastis</i>
domestic supply	<i>penawaran domestik</i>
individual supply	<i>penawaran individual/perorangan</i>
new supply	<i>penawaran baru</i>
firm's supply	<i>penawaran perusahaan</i>
excess supply	<i>kelebihan penawaran</i>
reduction of supply	<i>penurunan/pengurangan penawaran</i>
increased supply	<i>peningkatan penawaran</i>
supply chain	<i>mata rantai penawaran</i>
supply moves	<i>pergerakan penawaran</i>
supply shock	<i>guncangan penawaran</i>
upward-sloping supply curve	<i>kurva penawaran miring ke atas</i>
supply schedule	<i>skedul penawaran²⁷</i>

Appendice 4

A Supplementary Glossary to the GOEITT Based-on the Keyword INCOME

English Phrase (ST)	Indonesian Phrase (TT)
low income	<i>pendapatan rendah</i>
total income	<i>pendapatan total</i>
capita income	<i>pendapatan modal</i>
national income	<i>pendapatan nasional</i>
income growth	<i>pertumbuhan pendapatan</i>
income effect	<i>efek pendapatan</i>
income level	<i>tingkat pendapatan</i>
personal income	<i>pendapatan perorangan/ perseorangan /personal</i>
family income	<i>pendapatan keluarga</i>
income elasticity	<i>elastisitas permintaan²⁹</i>
corporate income	<i>pendapatan perusahaan</i>
lower income	<i>pendapatan lebih rendah</i>
income group	<i>kelompok pendapatan</i>
capital income	<i>pendapatan modal</i>
middle income	<i>pendapatan menengah</i>
federal income	<i>pendapatan federal</i>
household income	<i>pendapatan rumah tangga</i>

individual income	<i>pendapatan individual</i>
additional income	<i>pendapatan tambahan</i>
permanent income	<i>pendapatan tetap</i>
current income	<i>pendapatan sekarang</i>
negative income	<i>pendapatan negatif</i>
expected income	<i>pendapatan yang diharapkan</i>
rural income	<i>pendapatan desa</i>
operating income	<i>pendapatan operasi</i>
upper income	<i>pendapatan lebih tinggi/besar</i>
annual income	<i>pendapatan tahunan</i>
inequalities	<i>ketimpangan pendapatan</i>
extra income	<i>pendapatan tambahan</i>
income redistribution	<i>distribusi pendapatan</i>
income elasticities	<i>elastisitas pendapatan</i>
income gains	<i>perolehan pendapatan</i>
consumers income	<i>pendapatan konsumen</i>
relative income	<i>pendapatan relatif</i>
normal income	<i>pendapatan normal</i>
disposable income	<i>pendapatan disposabel</i>
investment income	<i>pendapatan investasi</i>
functional income	<i>pendapatan fungsional</i>
nation's income	<i>pendapatan negara</i>
income equality	<i>persamaan pendapatan</i>
income index	<i>indeks pendapatan</i>
aggregate income	<i>pendapatan agregat</i>
income gap	<i>kesenjangan pendapatan</i>
income shares	<i>pembagian pendapatan</i>
redistributing income	<i>redistribusi pendapatan</i>
income distribution	<i>distribusi pendapatan</i>
growing income	<i>pertumbuhan pendapatan</i>
income shift	<i>pergeseran pendapatan</i>
constant income	<i>pendapatan konstan</i>
income accounting	<i>pendapatan akuntansi</i>
income status	<i>status pendapatan</i>
positive income	<i>pendapatan positif</i>
state income	<i>pendapatan negara</i>
book income	<i>pendapatan buku</i>
lifetime income	<i>pendapatan seumur hidup</i>
traditional income	<i>pendapatan tradisional</i>
small income	<i>pendapatan kecil/rendah</i>
income generation	<i>menghasilkan pendapatan</i>

highest income class	<i>pendapatan tertinggi</i>
income recipients	<i>kelompok pendapatan penerima pendapatan</i>
consumer's income	<i>pendapatan konsumen</i>
family's income	<i>pendapatan keluarga</i>
improved income	<i>pendapatan yang meningkat</i>
income LCDS	<i>pendapatan golongan/kaum miskin</i>
women's income	<i>pendapatan wanita</i>
rental income	<i>pendapatan rental</i>
income stream	<i>aliran pendapatan</i>
income components	<i>komponen pendapatan</i>
country's income	<i>pendapatan negara</i>
world's income	<i>pendapatan dunia</i>
dividend income	<i>pendapatan dividen</i>
factors income	<i>pendapatan faktor</i>
income flows	<i>aliran pendapatan</i>
minimum income	<i>pendapatan minimum/minimal</i>
income support	<i>penunjang pendapatan</i>
inequality	<i>ketimpangan pendapatan</i>

human labor	<i>tenaga kerja manusia</i>
cheap labor	<i>tenaga kerja yang murah</i>
labor laws	<i>undang-undang ketenagakerjaan</i>
organized labor	<i>buruh terorganisir</i>
labor ratio	<i>rasio tenaga kerja</i>
productive labor	<i>tenaga kerja produktif</i>
labor migration	<i>migrasi/perpindahan tenaga kerja</i>
adult labor	<i>buruh dewasa</i>
labor standards	<i>standar tenaga kerja</i>
large labor	<i>tenaga kerja dalam jumlah besar</i>
labor transfer	<i>transfer tenaga kerja</i>
classical labor	<i>tenaga kerja klasik</i>
labor relations	<i>hubungan tenaga kerja</i>
family labor	<i>tenaga kerja keluarga</i>
excess labor	<i>kelebihan tenaga kerja</i>
women's labor	<i>tenaga kerja wanita</i>
farm labor	<i>tenaga kerja perkebunan</i>
female labor	<i>tenaga kerja/buruh wanita</i>
local labor	<i>tenaga kerja lokal</i>
economy's labor	<i>ekonomi tenaga kerja</i>

Appendice 5

A Supplementary Glossary to the GOEITT Based-on the Keyword LABOR

English Phrase (ST)	Indonesian Phrase (IT)
labor demand	<i>permintaan tenaga kerja</i>
labor participation	<i>partisipasi tenaga kerja</i>
child labor	<i>tenaga kerja anak; buruh anak-anak</i>
labor statistics	<i>statistik(a) tenaga kerja</i>
surplus labor	<i>surplus tenaga kerja</i>
labor intensive	<i>pelatihan tenaga kerja</i>
unskilled labor	<i>tenaga kerja (yang) tidak terampil/terlatih</i>
urban labor	<i>tenaga kerja perkotaan</i>
rural labor	<i>tenaga kerja pedesaan</i>
skilled labor	<i>tenaga kerja terampil</i>
department of labor	<i>departemen tenaga kerja</i>
international labor	<i>tenaga kerja internasional</i>
division of labor	<i>pembagian tenaga kerja</i>
tax of labor	<i>pajak tenaga kerja</i>
labor cost	<i>biaya tenaga</i>
agricultural labor	<i>tenaga kerja pertanian; buruh tani</i>
labor taxes	<i>pajak tenaga kerja</i>
labor abundant	<i>tenaga kerja melimpah</i>
labor input	<i>input tenaga kerja</i>
labor earnings	<i>pendapatan tenaga kerja</i>
competitive labor	<i>tenaga kerja yang kompetitif</i>

Appendice 6

A Supplementary Glossary to the GOEITT Based-on Some Acronyms

English Acronym	Indonesian Acronym
GDP (Gross Domestic Product)	<i>PDB (Pendapatan Domestik Bruto)</i>
GNP (Gross National Product)	<i>PNB (Pendapatan Nasional Bruto)</i>
EVA (Economic Value Added)	<i>nilai tambah ekonomis</i>
MVA (Market Value Added)	<i>nilai tambah pasar</i>
RONA (Return On Net Asset)	<i>pengembalian atas aktiva bersih</i>
CVA (Cash Value Added)	<i>nilai tambah kas</i>
EBIT (Earnings Before Interest and Tax)	<i>pendapatan sebelum bunga dan pajak</i>
EPS (Earning Per Share (EPS))	<i>pendapatan per saham</i>
LDGS (less developed countries)	<i>negara-negara berkembang</i>
LLDCs (least developed countries)	<i>negara-negara miskin</i>
MDCs (more developed countries)	<i>negara maju</i>
CEO (chief executive officer)	<i>kepala eksekutif, direktur eksekutif</i>
FED (Federal Reserve)	<i>Bank Sentral AS</i>

FOMC (Federal Open Market Committee)	<i>Komite Pasar Terbuka Federal</i>
CFROI (cashflow return on investment)	<i> arus kas pengembalian atas investasi</i>
NOPAT (net of profit after tax)	<i> laba operasi sesudah pajak</i>
OPEC (Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries)	<i> Organisasi Negara-negara Pengekspor Minyak</i>
FGV (Future Growth Value)	<i> nilai pertumbuhan mendatang</i>
MBO (Management Buyout)	<i> pembelian manajemen</i>
LMC (Lower Middle Income)	<i> pendapatan menengah bawah</i>
NPV (Net Present Value)	<i> nilai sekarang bersih</i>
PPP (Purchasing Power Parity)	<i> indikator paritas daya beli</i>
ATC (Average Total Cost)	<i> biaya total rata-rata</i>
CPI (consumer price index)	<i> indeks harga konsumen</i>
CFO (cashflow from operation)	<i> arus kas dari operasi</i>
GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles)	<i> prinsip akuntansi yang secara umum diterima</i>
NAFTA North American Free Trade Agreement	<i> Perjanjian Perdagangan Bebas Amerika Utara</i>
GATT (General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade)	<i> Perjanjian Umum tentang Tarif dan Perdagangan</i>
MPC (marginal propensity to consume)	<i> kecenderungan konsumsi marginal</i>
CVA (Cash Value Added)	<i> nilai tambah kas</i>
FDI (foreign direct investment)	<i> penanaman modal asing (PMA) secara langsung</i>